578 insults and personal grievances. As we shall see, the fact that in-group solidarity
[657] is so strongly manifested in disputes ostensibly between two individuals is a common psychological basis for the growth of Badaga factional divisions, which typically evolve out of family feuds.

554 Historically and to some extent socially the hamlet can be seen as an
631 extension of its gentry, its founding family: Tuneri, for example, is said to be an
(621) important village because its headman is the most important of all Nilgiri headmen.
(565) This is an understandable position, for every resident of Tuneri is potentially more influential than people living in a hamlet with a relatively inferior headman. But Tuneri is also an unusually large village, and this fact gives it added prestige. In the same way that a family of the gentry used to increase in prestige by increasing its numbers, a hamlet can do likewise by an increase in population. This is simply because, in the traditional setting, the headman would receive more money gifts at the weddings of girls from his village, more income from fining his villagers, and the chance of a substantial "rake-off" when he collected grain to give to the Todas and Kurumbas at each harvest. This attitude finds clear expression in a Badaga saying: "If the number of his subjects increases, the headman of the village will become a big man."

631 During the last century, before Badagas had started to use the British
661 court system (there was only one magistrate's court in the Nilgiris), they were
662 entirely dependent on their own headmen for favorable treatment in the hearing of disputes. In those days, whenever a headman visited another village, he could expect much more generous hospitality than he would receive today, since it might prove necessary later to look to him for support in a case. The hospitable treatment typically consisted of a big feast, to which was often added a night with whichever of the village girls he chose. Feasting, girls, cloth and money might also be

631 used prior to the hearing of a dispute to gain an adjudicating headman's favor.
661
662 Within prescribed limits, antisocial behavior is an individual's misfortune, rather than a reflection on the character of his lineage or hamlet. In the case of
558 misbehavior by a member of the gentry, however, the prestige of his whole family
[565] is involved. Apart from the small minority -- perhaps five percent -- who belong to the gentry, as we have seen, wrong-doers are held responsible for their own acts and punishable for them. A family which contains a miscreant or a girl of loose morals will generally lose little prestige; for, as a proverb understandingly puts the matter, "In good soil the crop will grow better, and so will the weeds." For this reason the family of a man hanged for murder, for example, would still give him a respectful funeral and invite many guests (who would show no hesitation in coming). The same holds true in the case of a man who died as a Hindu after
558 having earlier been a Christian convert. If, on the other hand, any lineage pro-
613 duces more than two or three miscreants or notably loose women within a space of a few years, then the whole lineage does lose prestige and influence. The isolated case can be overlooked, but repeated delinquency within one lineage becomes difficult to pardon.

To summarize the chapter thus far: we have seen that an upper class of
565 families exists, consisting of certain patrilineal descendants of the Badagas who founded each settlement. These families provided the headmen and sometimes other functionaries; they still try to maintain an image of higher ethical standards and greater prosperity and power; but at the same time the entire family can be held responsible for the misdeeds of any member, whereas among other Badagas this is not usually so.